2010-2011 Complaint Log (July 1, 2010-June 30, 2011)

									Issue date of
		Date received		Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint	Complaint results	Mediation	complaint report/fin dings
	Brookings	10/1/10	•	Parents/SDAS	Yes	1. refused to provide free appropriate public	•	No	11/29/2010
2		Date received		Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/fin dings

	Rapid City	10/21/10	12/10/2010	SDAS.parents	yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. failed to provide FAPE 2. failed to identify student as a child in need of services prior to evaluating 3. failed to follow proper referral procedurews including failure to document and conduct an informal review and provide parental due process rights.	NA	yes, held 11/15/10 successful	Withdrawn 11/19/10
3		Date received	60 days	Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	of complaint report/fin dings
	Madison	12/17/10	2/15/11	Parent/SDAS	Yes	The complainant alleges the district: 1. limited the cost of all Independent Education Evaluations (IEE) and did not follow federal regulation when parent requested an IEE, 2. unreasonably delated the IEE and did not file for a hearing, and; 3. requested specific information from parent for purposes of determining whether it would agree to an IEE.	School District is: Out of compliance on issue 1	no	02/08/2011
3		Date received		Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	of complaint report/fin dings
	Sioux Falls	5/16/11	7/15/11	SDAS	Yes	refused to provide FAPE 2. has not had appropriate IEP team participants 3. has delayed providing services 4. has not used prior notices correctly.	NA	no	07/11/2011

	District involved in complaint	Date received		Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?			Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/fin dings
	Bison	5/25/11	7/24/11	SDAS/parent	yes	education by not following IDEA and dismissing the student from special education services without appropriate notice and evaluation, 2. did not follow evaluation procedures, 3. did not use prior notices correctly, 4. held IEP meetings without proper IEP member attendance, 5. did not use reevluation procedures correctly to determine dismissal, and; 6. used a teacher that was not highly qualified and teacher was not properly supervised	School District is: Out of compliance issues 2, 4, 5	no	07/18/2011
	District involved in complaint	Date received		Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	Issue date of complaint report/fin dings
	Custer	6/14/11	8/13/11	Parents	No	The complaintant alleges the district: 1. refused to provide special education testing.	School District is Out of compliance on issue 1	no	08/03/2011
6	District involved in complaint	Date received		Person(s) filing complaint	SD Advocacy involved?	Issues of the complaint		Mediation	of complaint report/fin
	Sioux Falls	6/30/11	8/29/11	Parents	yes	The complainant alleges the district: did not provide FAPE to student/s with long term suspensions.	NA	no	07/11/2011

	7 total complaints in				
6	10-11				

Corrective action plan received date	Closure date
12/20/2010	02/23/2011
Corrective action plan received date	Closure date

NA	11/19/10
Corrective action plan received date	Closure date
02/22/2011	03/18/2011
Corrective action plan received date	Closure date
	Complaint was dismissed 7/11/2011
NA	

Corrective action plan received date 08/17/2011	Closure date
Corrective action plan received date	Closure date
09/01/2011	
Corrective action plan received date	Closure date
NA	07/11/2011

